A. a. strictocollaris: PANAMA. CANAL ZONE: Lion Hill (2); Tabernilla (2); Gatun (2). PANAMA PROVINCE: Utivé (1); Cerro Chucantí (3); Cerro Azul (1). COLON: Porto Bello (2). SAN BLAS: Mandinga (1); Armila (1). DARIEN: Río Jaqué (8); Tacarcuna Village (9); La Laguna (1); Cerro Pirre (1); Cana (2). COLOMBIA. CHOCO: Acandí (5).

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Frank B. Gill for transporting the Philadelphia co-type of Arremon aurantiirostris to Washington for my examination, to Raymond A. Paynter, Jr. for his remarks on the Harvard co-type and to Kenneth C. Parkes for access to the collections in the Carnegie Museum of Natural History and for comments on the manuscript. This is contribution Number 13 of the Wetmore Papers, a project supported in part by trust funds from the Smithsonian Institution for completing unfinished work and study of undescribed material left by the late Alexander Wetmore.

References:

Bangs, O. 1930. Types of birds now in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zoöl. 70: 147-426.

Chapman, F. M. 1925. Descriptions of new birds from Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, and Argentina. Amer. Mus. Novit. 160: 1-14.

Hellmayr, C. E. 1938. Catalogue of birds of the Americas. Part XI. Zool. Ser. Field Mus.

Nat. Hist. 13 (11): vi +662 pp.

Paynter, R. A., Jr. 1970. Subfamily Emberizinae, pp. 3-214 in *Check-list of Birds of the World*. Vol. XIII (Paynter, R. A., Jr. & Storer, R. W.—Eds). Cambridge, Mass.: Museum of Comparative Zoology.

Stone, W. 1899. A study of the type specimens of birds in the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, with a brief history of the collection. *Proc. Acad.*

Nat. Sci. Philadelphia 1899 (part 1): 5-62. Todd, W. E. C. 1922. New forms of finches and tanagers from tropical America. Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington 35:89-94.

Address. S. L. Olson, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. 20560, U.S.A.

© British Ornithologists' Club 1983

Notes on the extinct Argusianus bipunctatus (Wood)

by G. W. H. Davison

Received 2 November 1982

All published sources, including Peters (1934), Delacour (1951) and Warren (1966), cite incorrectly the type description of Argusianus bipunctatus (Wood).

The description accepted as the first by these writers was a letter written by T. W. Wood on 22 June 1871 (the author's manuscript date) and published presumably later than June (Wood 1871a). This letter gives an engraving of the type specimen, a description, and the proposed name Argus bipunctatus attached both to the illustration (which is on an earlier page) and to the description. However, this letter states that "a letter of mine appeared in the 'Field' newspaper of April 8th. ult . . . ".

Reference to The Field for that date shows that Wood (1871b) had published the same engraving, with a nearly identical text, and proposed the name bipunctatus, approximately 3 months earlier than his June letter. Correct

citation of the type description should therefore be: Argus bipunctatus Wood, The Field, 8 April 1871, p. 281.

Subsequent mention of this species in publications has included no more details than were given by Wood himself. The type and only known specimen is a portion of a male's primary from the right wing, broken off both distally and proximally. The length of surviving rachis is 250 mm, with both webs intact for 160 mm. Wood (1871a, b) noted that the rachis was extremely slender, and Delacour (1951) suggested that the feather was probably therefore long. The distal 170 mm of surviving rachis are less than

1 mm broad, and consequently highly flexible.

In the extant species A. argus the primaries have a very broad robust shaft with not more than the distal 70 mm narrower than 1 mm. The short flexible tip is pressed hard against the ground when the male displays his primaries and secondaries in a circular fan (Davison 1982). In this fan, the outer web of one primary overlaps part of the inner web of the next primary descendant. Thorn-shaped short friction barbules (Lucas & Stettenheim 1972) distinguish the area of overlap and maintain the integrity of the fan during display. On each primary the area of inner web exposed during display is densely spotted with chestnut and black, while the concealed area is sparsely spotted or unmarked. Only at the tips of the primaries, where they diverge and eliminate overlap, are the inner webs spotted throughout.

In the feather of A. bipunctatus the tip is missing, but the distribution of short friction barbules indicates that the end of the feather is being approached. Extrapolation from its shape suggests that the portion of shaft narrower than 1 mm may have exceeded 250 mm, on a feather of total length 600 mm from tip to skin insertion. Hence, assuming that in display this flexible tip was pressed against the ground, a much longer portion of each primary would have had its entire span exposed, without overlap by the adjacent feathers. This is confirmed by the feather's pattern, which shows chestnut

and black spots densely packed over the full expanse of both webs.

In the living A. argus, flight by males is laboured, propulsive power coming from the robust-shafted primaries and the (mainly) aerofoil characteristics provided by the long floppy secondaries. Contrary to Wood, the surviving feather fragment of A. bipunctatus indicates a primary at least as broad as, and much longer than, those of A. argus. The great length of slender shaft, greater even than in the already limp secondaries of A. argus, implies a feather with poor aerodynamic properties. Poor flight characteristics are also suggested by the relative width of the outer web, which is 40% the width of the inner web in A. argus, but 60% the width (and consequently more flexible) in A. bipunctatus.

Based on the greater feather length, slender and flexible shaft, relative width of the inner and outer webs, and the greater specialization of the primary presumably for display in a contorted fan, I suggest that A. bipunc-

tatus may have been flightless.

The origin of the feather fragment is unknown. Wood (1871a, b) found it amongst a bundle of feathers of A. argus, and one possibility is that these feathers had been marketed in the London plume trade. Only in 1891 was the specimen presented to the British Museum by Edward Bartlett (Warren 1966), and Bartlett's association from 1894 with the Sarawak Museum casts no light on the origin of the feather.

Delacour (1951) suggested A. bipunctatus might have lived on Java, presumably because Java is a large gap in the Sundaic range of A. argus. Javan ornithology, however, has a history beginning much earlier than the discovery date of A. bipunctatus, and such a bird is unlikely to have been

missed by Horsfield, Raffles and others (Horsfield 1824). Flightlessness, and isolation from A. argus, imply an island distribution; island forms are often larger than mainland relatives (MacArthur & Wilson 1967), and larger feather size could indicate larger body size. Extant A. argus are found on only one offshore island, Pangkor (4° 15'N, 100° 32'E), where 25 km² of habitat is sufficient for a self-sustaining population. It is absent from a larger island, Tioman (2° 48'N, 104° 11'E). Tioman has nearly 100 km² of forest habitat over steep, dry and rocky slopes of a type which on the mainland Malay Peninsula constitute favoured habitat for A. argus (Delacour 1951, Davison 1981). Tioman, which became isolated by rising sea levels 15,000-20,000 years ago, is probably suitable to support a population of Argus (Medway 1966a) and has few mammalian predators (Medway 1966b). It has a history of habitation by villagers dating back several centuries (Bullock & Medway 1966), but was not zoologically explored until 1899 (Miller 1900).

I suggest that changing Pleistocene sea levels in the Sunda subregion could have isolated an Argusianus population on Tioman, where suitable habitat and paucity of predators might have permitted the evolution of larger size and flightlessness, and the sparse forest understorey might have selected for larger males with larger wing fans used in display. The history of occupation of Tioman by man might explain the recent extinction of a large

edible bird prior to scientific discovery of its distribution.

Acknowledgements. I thank the authorities of the British Museum (Natural History) for access to the holotype, A. D. Johns and E. L. Bennett for assistance with literature, and my university for my participation in a field trip to Tioman.

References:

Bullock, J. A. & Medway, Lord. 1966. Observations on the fauna of Pulau Tioman and Pulau Tulai, 1. General introduction. Bull. Nat. Mus. Singapore. 34: 1–8.

Davison, G. W. H. 1981. Diet and dispersion of the Great Argus Argusianus argus. Ibis 123: 485-494.

1982. Sexual displays of the Great Argus pheasant Argusianus argus. Z. Tierpsychol. 58: 185-202.

Delacour, J. 1951. The Pheasants of the World. London: Country Life. Horsfield, T. 1824. Zoological Researches on the Island of Java. London: privately published. Lucas, A. M. & Stettenheim, R. 1972. Avian Anatomy: Integument. Agriculture Handbook No. 362. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture.

MacArthur, R. H. & Wilson, E. O. 1967. The Theory of Island Biogeography. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton. Univ. Press.

Medway, Lord. 1966a. Observations on the fauna of Pulau Tioman and Pulau Tulai, 4.

The birds. Bull. Nat. Mus. Singapore 34: 39-52.

1966b. Observations on the fauna of Pulau Tioman and Pulau Tulai, 2. The mammals. Bull. Nat. Mus. Singapore 34: 9-32.

Miller, G. S. 1900. Mammals collected by Dr. W. L. Abbott on islands in the South China

Sea. Proc. Wash. Acad. Sci. 2: 203-246.

Peters, J. L. 1934. Checklist of Birds of the World. Vol. 2. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard Univ. Press.

Warren, R. L. M. 1966. Type-specimens of Birds in the British Museum (Natural History). Vol. 1. Non-passerines. London: British Museum (Natural History).

Wood, T. W. 1871a. On a new species of Argus Pheasant. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (4) 8: 67-68.

1871b. A new species of Argus pheasant. The Field. 8 April: 281.

Address. Dr. G. W. H. Davison, Zoology Dept., Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia.

[©] British Ornithologists' Club 1983